# BEFORE THE OFFICE OF CAMPAIGN FINANCE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA BOARD OF ELECTIONS AND ETHICS FRANK D. REEVES MUNICIPAL BUILDING 2000-14<sup>th</sup> STREET, N.W., SUITE 420 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009

(202) 671-0550

IN THE MATTER OF	)	DATE: October 3, 2003
James M. Ballard, III	)	DOCKET NO.: 03F-155
Correctional Program Officer	)	
Department of Human Services	)	
8611 Sycamore Glen Lane	)	
Odenton, Maryland 21113	)	

## **ORDER**

#### **Statement of the Case**

This matter came before the Office of Campaign Finance (hereinafter OCF) Office of General Counsel following a determination by its Public Information Records Management Division, that pursuant to the D.C. Code §1-1106.02 (2001 Edition), James M. Ballard, III, Correctional Program Officer, Department of Human Services, failed to timely file, a Financial Disclosure Statement for calendar year 2002, on or before May 15, 2003 as required by D.C. Official Code §1-1106.02 and also failed to file on or before the OCF sanctioned extended filing deadline of June 19, 2003.

By Notice of Hearing, Statement of Violations and Order of Appearance dated August 11, 2003, OCF ordered James M. Ballard, III, (hereinafter respondent), to appear at a scheduled hearing on August 22, 2003 and show cause why he should not be found in violation of the D.C. Campaign Finance Reform and Conflict of Interest Act of 1974, as amended by D.C. Official Code §§1-1101.01 et seq., and fined accordingly.

#### **Summary of Evidence**

OCF alleges that the respondent failed to timely file the statutorily required Financial Disclosure Statement for calendar year 2002, on or before June 19, 2003.

On August 22, 2003, respondent appeared at the scheduled hearing and testified that he separated from the District Government on June 27, 2003. Respondent further stated he was not aware of the filing requirement, and that no exit interview was conducted upon his leaving the government. Respondent stated that on or around August 18, 2003, his wife, who generally reviews the family mail, informed him of receipt of the

# IN THE MATTER OF: James M. Ballard, III

Page 2

OCF hearing notice, as well as receipt of other OCF notices several months ago referencing the requirements of public officials. Respondent asserts that because his wife did not believe him to be a public official, she did not refer the notices to him. Respondent was a Management Supervisory Service employee. Respondent filed a final FDS online on August 21, 2003.

#### **Findings of Fact**

Having reviewed the allegations and the record herein, I find:

- 1. Respondent was appointed to the Management Supervisory Service (MSS) on August 27, 2000.
- 2. Respondent separated from government service on June 27, 2003.
- 3. Respondent was required to file a Financial Disclosure Statement with OCF for calendar year 2002, on or before June 19, 2003.
- 4. Respondent filed the required Financial Disclosure Statement on August 21, 2003.
- 5. Respondent is a first-time FDS required filer.
- 6. Respondent provided a credible explanation for the filing delinquency in that he is a novice to the FDS filing requirements, and in that he had no knowledge of receipt of the notices that were delivered to his home until August 18, 2003.
- 7. Respondent is currently in compliance with the statute.

## **Conclusions of Law**

Based upon the record provided by OCF, I therefore conclude:

- 1. Respondent violated D.C. Official Code §1-1106.02.
- 2. The penalty established at D.C. Official Code §1-1103.05(b)(3), and 3 DCMR §\$3711.2(aa), 3711.2 and 3711.4 for failure to timely file a Financial Disclosure Statement required by D.C. Official Code §1-1106.02 is a fine of \$50.00 per day for each business day subsequent to the due date.

# IN THE MATTER OF: James M. Ballard, III Page 3

- 3. In accordance with D.C. Official Code §1-1103.05(b)(3), the respondent may be fined a maximum of \$2,000.00 for failing to timely file a Financial Disclosure Statement.
- 4. For good cause shown pursuant to 3 DCMR §3711.6, the Director of Campaign Finance (Director) may modify, rescind, dismiss or suspend any fine.
- 5. Respondent's explanation for failing to timely file constitutes good cause for suspension of the fine.

#### Recommendation

In view of the foregoing and information included in the record, I hereby recommend that the Director suspend the imposition of the fine in this matter.

Date	Jean Scott Diggs
	Hearing Officer
In view of the foregoing, I her	reby concur with the Recommendation.
 Date	Kathy S. Williams

Page 4

## **ORDER OF THE DIRECTOR**

IT IS ORDERED that the fine in this matter be hereby suspended.		
Date	Cecily E. Collier-Montgomery Director	

## **SERVICE OF ORDER**

This is to certify that I have served a true copy of the foregoing Order.

Rose Rice
Legal Assistant

## **NOTICE**

Pursuant to 3 DCMR §3711.5 (1999), any fine imposed by the Director shall become effective on the 16<sup>th</sup> day following the issuance of a decision and order, if the respondent does not request an appeal of this matter. If applicable, within 10 days of the effective date of this order, please make a check or money order payable to the D.C. Treasurer, c/o Office of Campaign Finance, Suite 420, 2000-14<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20009.